

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,163	09/21/2001	Katherine E. Fisher	PC10667AGPR 4614		
759	00 02/11/2003				
Gregg C. Benson			EXAMINER		
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159			QIAN, CELINE X		
Eastern Point Ro					
Groton, CT 063	340		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1636	(1	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/961,163		FISHER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Celine X Qian		1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day- ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		— nis action is nor	-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
· · ·	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	· · ·	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		05.11.0.0.0.14.0/					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 8	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen		,,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of preparing a gene vector by homologous recombination in yeast, classified in class 435, subclass 254.2.
- II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of preparing gene targeted mammalian cells having a targeted gene mutation, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of making gene-targeted mouse, classified in class 800, subclass 22.
- IV. Claims 16-20, drawn to a gene-targeting vector, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for following reasons.

The inventions of Groups I-III are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to methods that require different starting material and modes of operation. Each method comprises distinct steps. Therefore, the invention of Groups I-III are patentably distinct.

Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, other process such as molecular cloning can make the gene-targeting vector. Therefore, the invention of Groups IV and I are patentably distinct.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,163

Art Unit: 1636

Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of Group II can be practiced by a gene-targeting vector having homologous sequence to the target gene and a mammalian selection marker. Therefore, the inventions of Groups IV and II are patentably distinct.

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of Group III can be practiced by a gene-targeting vector having homologous sequence to the target gene and a mammalian selection marker.

Therefore, the inventions of Groups IV and III are patentably distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search of the subject matter of one invention would not be coextensive with a search of the other invention, and therefore the search would be burdensome. Each invention is capable of supporting a separate patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X Qian whose telephone number is 703-306-0283. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,163

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Celine Qian, Ph.D. January 30, 2003

ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anne-Marie Falk

Page 4